

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,428	05/10/2001	George Brainard	BRA01-NP002	6772
PATRICIA A.	7590 08/21/200 WENGER	9	EXAMINER	
	ACKSON STREET		GIBSON, ROY DEAN	
MEDIA, PA 19	9063		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/853,428	BRAINARD, GEORGE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Roy D. Gibson	3739	

	Roy D. Gibson	3/39	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dal	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloic)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rejections	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			, .
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>31.34.35.37-41 and 44.</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Roy D. Gibson/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3739		

Continuation of 13. Other: For the record the examiner has presented below a brief summary of the actions taken by him and the applicant's representive regarding the amendments after final and telephone interviews with the aim of placing the application in Condition for Allowance.

First Amendment after final filed on 6/30/3009 (within two months of the Final rejection):.

The examiner initiated a telephone interview with Patricia Wanger on about 7/15/2009 to discuus the claims in particular independent claim 31. The examiner pointed out that addition of 'in the regulation' or circadian physiology, "would be appropiate screence the Seafchss et al. reference. Also the examiner pointed out that claims 2, 12 and 14 recited disorders, etc that were not consistant with "the regulation of circadian physiology"

On 7/24/2009 Ms. Wanger filed an amendment to correct the issues discussed in the interview of 7/15/2009 above, cancelling cliams 2, 12 and 14. After further review the examiner telephoned Ms. Wanger again on or about 7/26/2009 with the new concern that this amendment was not completely consistant with the recitation in the last four lines of claim 31, namely "stimulating the photoreceptor system for at least one of the circadian, photoneural, neuroendocrine or neurobehavorial systems". Also the examiner pointed out that the limitation of claim 34 was included in claim 44. Evan sincluded in claim 44. But the contract of the systems of the syst

On 7/30/2009 Ms. Wanger filed an amendment to correct the issues discussed in the interview of 7/26/2009 which seemed to be acceptable to the examiner. Claims 36, 42 and 45 were canceled by Ms Wanger. However, after further consideration of the support for all the language of the independent claim 31, it was noted by the examiner that the word "neurobehavorial" did not appear in the Specification and, therefore, was not an appropriate antecedent as required by MPEP 608.01 (o).

The examiner telephoned Ms. Wanger on or about August 5 to discuss this issue. The examiner suggested that this be deleted from the claim in order to correct this issue.

In summary the amendments filed on 6/30 and 7/24/09 have not been entered into record, but the claim amendments filed on 7/30/2009 will be entered if the approval from Ms. Wangerr corrects this last issue.